

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				·	* * *	
APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,769		04/10/2001	Anant Mahajan	CR2035AA	CR2035AA 1026	
22917	7590	09/23/2004		EXAM	INER	
MOTORC	MOTOROLA, INC.				AKPATI, ODAICHE T	
1303 EAST	ALGONO	UIN ROAD				
IL01/3RD					PAPER NUMBER	
SCHAUMI	SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196					

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	09/829,769	MAHAJAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication as	Tracey Akpati	2135
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the c	:Orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from by a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 April 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Prìority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv uu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04102001</u>. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 7, 9-12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng (6640301 B1).

With respect to Claim 1, Ng meets the limitation of "receiving information from an information source" on column 5, lines 26-28; and "validating said information" on column 5, lines 29-33; and "storing said validated information" on column 6, lines 48-51; and "automatically forwarding said validated information to a second end terminal of said plurality of end terminals over a communication channel established between said first and said second end terminals; wherein said communication channel is established for a purpose separate from forwarding said validated information" on column 6, lines 56-58. The first terminal is represented by the email website server while the second terminal is represented by the client.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the communication channel be used for purposes other than forwarding said validated information because the channel is used to forward other forms of information such as HTTP packets from one end to the other.

With respect to Claim 2, Ng meets the limitation of "receiving a positive acknowledgement from said second end terminal" inherently on column 1, lines 43-45. TCP inherently sends a positive acknowledgement (ACK) for every packet successfully received. Hence an ACK would inherently have been sent by the second end terminal to show that the message was successfully received.

With respect to Claim 3, Ng meets the limitation of "informing said information source that said information has been successfully disseminated" on column 10, lines 13-14. Transmission of the message indicates that the information has been successfully sent.

With respect to Claim 7, Ng meets the limitation of "wherein said method is performed by a validated first end terminal" on column 5, lines 29-34.

With respect to Claim 9, Ng meets the limitation of "wherein said information is forwarded over said established communication channel as a piggyback in a frame" on column 1, lines 43-45. Piggybacking is inherently in TCP/IP communications.

With respect to Claim 10, Ng meets the limitation of "wherein said information is contained in a data field of said frame" on column 1, lines 43-45. TCP/IP inherently transmits packets that are composed of a header and a data field.

Art Unit: 2135

With respect to Claim 11, Ng meets the limitation of "receiving information from an information source" on column 5, lines 26-28; and "validating said information" on column 5, lines 29-33; and "storing said validated information" on column 6, lines 48-51; and "detecting establishment of a communication channel between said first end terminal and a second end terminal of said plurality of end terminals, wherein said communication channel is established for a purpose separate from forwarding said validated information" on column 7, lines 3-17; and "automatically forwarding validated information to said second end terminal over said established communication channel" on column 6, lines 56-58.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the communication channel be used for purposes other than forwarding said validated information because the channel is used to forward other forms of information such as HTTP packets from one end to the other.

With respect to Claim 12, Ng meets the limitation of "receiving a positive acknowledgement from said second end terminal, and informing said information source that said information is successfully disseminated" on column 1, lines 43-45 and on column 10, lines 13-14.

With respect to Claim 14, Ng meets the limitation of "sending information from an information source to at least one end terminal, wherein said at least one end terminal validates said information and forwards said information via a piggyback in a frame when

Art Unit: 2135

a channel is established between said at least one end terminal and another end terminal for a purpose other than dissemination of information" on column 5, lines 29-34 and on column 1, lines 43-45.

With respect to Claim 15, Ng meets the limitation of "an information source" on column 5, lines 26-28; and "at least two end terminals including a first end terminal and a second end terminal" on column 6, lines 42-46; and "wherein said first end terminal performs a method including receiving information from said information source" on column 6, lines 48-51; and "validating said information" on column 6, lines 59-61; and "storing said validated information" on column 6, lines 48-51 and 63-66; and "automatically forwarding said validated information to said second end terminal of said plurality of end terminals over a communication channel established between said firs and said second end terminals, wherein said communication channel is established for a purpose separate from forwarding said validated information" on column 6, lines 56-58.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the communication channel be used for purposes other than forwarding said validated information because the channel is used to forward other forms of information such as HTTP packets from one end to the other.

Claims 4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng (6640301 B1) in view of Gogerty (US 2004/0117245 A1).

Art Unit: 2135

With respect to Claim 4 and 13, Ng meets all the limitation except for the following limitation. The limitation of "including receiving a reward for successfully disseminating said information" is met by Gogerty on paragraphs 33 and 34.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Gogerty within the system of Ng because a reward provides an incentive to the sender of information.

Claims 5, 6, 8, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ng (6640301 B1) in view of Sandhu et al (US2002/0078353 A1).

With respect to Claim 5, Ng meets all the limitation except for the following limitation.

The limitation of "wherein validating said information includes validating said information using a verification key provided by a certification authority" is met by Sandhu et al on paragraph 15.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Sandhu et al within the system of Ng because validation by using a public key provided by a certification authority (CA) is well known in the art.

With respect to Claim 6, Ng meets all the limitation except for the following limitation. The limitation of "wherein said verification key is a public key" is met by Sandhu et al on paragraph 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Sandhu et al within the system of Ng because validation by using a public key provided by a certification authority (CA) is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2135

With respect to Claim 8, Ng meets all the limitation except for the following limitation. The limitation of "wherein said first end terminal is validated using a root key" is met by Sandhu et al on paragraph 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Sandhu et al within the system of Ng because validation by using a public key provided by a certification authority (CA) is well known in the art.

With respect to Claim 16, Ng meets all the limitation except for the following limitation. The limitation of "an information certification authority that provides a verification key to said first end terminal for validating said information" is met by Sandhu et al on paragraph 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Sandhu et al within the system of Ng because validation by using a public key provided by a certification authority (CA) is well known in the art.

With respect to Claim 17, Ng meets all the limitation except for the following limitation. The limitation of "wherein said information source receives said information from said information certification authority" is met by Sandhu et al on paragraph 15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Sandhu et al within the system of Ng because validation by using a public key provided by a certification authority (CA) is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2135

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracey Akpati whose telephone number is 703-305-7820. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-6.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703-305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Please note the Patent Office will be moving to the Alexandria campus next month. The new phone number for myself, Tracey Akpati is (571) 272-3846, my SPE, Kim Vu is (571) 272-3859 and the receptionist is (571) 272-2100.

OTA

AU 2135